

February 26, 2007

RE: Shanon Wulf v. Department of Corrections (DOC)
Allocation Review No. 06AL0062

Dear Mr. Wulf:

The Director's review of DOC's allocation determination of your position has been completed. The review was based on the written documentation submitted by you and by DOC. DOC determined that your position was properly allocated to the Warehouse Operator 4 classification. You indicated that your position was more similar to the Correctional Industries Specialist 1 classification and asked that your job classification and salary be set comparable to the Correctional Industries Specialist 1, Construction and Maintenance Supervisor and Cook-AC classifications.

The allocation review process is not the proper forum to rewrite or create a new classification. If you wish to propose a new or revised classification, you should work with your union representative and your agency's human resource staff to develop a proposal. You can find information about submitting a proposal on the Department of Personnel webpage at www.DOP.wa.gov under the HR Professionals tab. Under the HR Professionals tab, go to Meetings and then to Director of Personnel.

The purpose of a position review is to determine which classification best describes the overall duties and responsibilities of a position. A position review is neither a measurement of the volume of work performed, nor an evaluation of the expertise with which that work is performed. A position review is a comparison of the duties and responsibilities of a particular position to the available classification specifications. This review results in a determination of the class that best describes the overall duties and responsibilities of the position. See Liddle-Stamper v. Washington State University, PAB Case No. 3722-A2 (1994).

Salary inequity is not an allocation criterion and should not be considered when determining the appropriate allocation of position. See Sorensen v Depts. Of Social and Health Services and Personnel, PAB Case No. A94-020 (1995).

Background

As a result of the Department of Personnel's implementation of the Group 2 and 3 Occupational Categories, effective January 1, 2006, your position was transitioned into the new Warehouse Operator 4 classification in the Warehouse Operations Occupational Category. (Exhibit B). By letter dated January 19, 2006, you requested a review of that decision. (Exhibit C).

At the request of the Department of Personnel, DOC conducted a review of your position. DOC compared the Classification Questionnaire (CQ) that was on file for your position at the time of the implementation of the new Warehouse Operations Occupational Category to your former Warehouse Worker 4 classification and the new Warehouse Operator 4 classification. By letter dated November 22, 2006, DOC determined that your position was properly allocated to the Warehouse Operator 4 classification. (Exhibit E).

By letter dated December 29, 2006, Karen Wilcox, the Director's Review Coordinator, informed the parties that the review of your position would be conducted based on the documents provided by the parties. (Exhibit F). Ms. Wilcox informed you that any additional documentation was to be submitted no later than January 25, 2007. Neither party submitted additional documentation.

Summary of Mr. Wulf's Perspective

In your letter of appeal, you state that you supervise a warehouse operation servicing approximately 1600 to 2200 offenders with annual sales of over \$1.3 million. You supervise four to five state employees and supervise and train up to 7 offenders. Three of the offenders use Class 1 tools on a daily basis. Class 1 tools are dangerous and can be used in an escape attempt. You also indicate that offenders working in the warehouse operate potentially lethal heavy equipment. You feel that your duties more closely fit those described in the Industries Specialist classification. You compare your position to the Construction and Maintenance Supervisor, Correctional Industries Specialist 1, and Cook-AC in regard to the similarity of your level of responsibility for offenders. You ask that the "AC" designation be added to your title and that your salary be set comparable to other positions that supervise offenders.

Summary of DOC's reasoning

DOC compared your duties and responsibilities to the former Warehouse Worker 4 classification and the new Warehouse Operator 4 classification. DOC determined that the majority of your assigned duties and responsibilities are characteristic of your former classification, and subsequently, are also characteristic of the Warehouse Operator 4 classification.

Director's Determination

As the Director's designee, I carefully reviewed all of the documentation in the file including your letter requesting a review of DOC's determination and the duties and responsibilities described in the CQ for your position BS56. In addition to the Correctional Industries Manufacturing Occupational Category, the Construction and Maintenance Supervisor classification and the Cook-AC classification, I reviewed the Warehouse Operations Occupational Category. The Warehouse Operator 4 level is the highest level of classification in

this Occupational Category. I reviewed the current Department of Personnel classification plan and the list of abolished classes but I found no classification titled Industries Specialist 1.

Based on my review of the documents, the available classifications, and my analysis of your assigned duties and responsibilities, I conclude that your position is properly allocated to the Warehouse Operator 4 classification.

Rationale for Determination

The focus of positions allocated to the Correctional Industries Manufacturing Occupational Category is working with and teaching offenders a variety of trades and other skills used in the manufacturing process. You work with offenders in a warehouse setting, not a skilled trades setting. As a result, your position does not fit within the Correctional Industries Manufacturing Occupational Category.

The focus of positions allocated to the Construction and Maintenance Supervisor classification is supervising and working with a crew performing construction and maintenance work. Again, since you work with offenders in a warehouse setting, your position does not fit within the Construction and Maintenance Supervisor classification because you do not perform construction and maintenance work.

Similarly, positions allocated to the Cook-AC classification direct the preparation and service of food by offenders and do not work in a warehouse setting. Therefore, your position does not fit within the Cook-AC classification.

The Distinguishing Characteristics for the Warehouse Operator 4 classification state:

This is the supervisory or expert level of the series. Positions at this level supervise and direct the entire receiving, storage and shipping operation in a major distribution center or on a multi-shift operation serving multiple delivery points, the complete operation of a commissary, warehouse, or a major subdivision of a large volume fast turnover warehouse.

As indicated in the CQ for your position, you supervise the operations of the Washington Corrections Center warehouse for the supply office, property management and the kitchen storage warehouse. You establish and maintain level of supplies, are responsible for all warehouse operations, and supervise warehouse staff. In your reallocation request letter, you indicate that you oversee all aspects of receiving, shipping and issuing of inventory in the warehouse, manage the day-to-day operations of the warehouse, supervise offenders working in the warehouse, deal directly with vendors, supervise purchasing, maintain inventory, and manage databases and records processing. You also oversee the conduct, recording and archiving of weekly safety sanitation inspections, conduct and sign off on monthly fire extinguisher inspections, document monthly rolling stock inspections and oversee routine maintenances of rolling stock. In performing your work, you operate forklifts and pallet jacks. As described on your CQ, the duties and responsibilities of your position fit within the Distinguishing Characteristics of the Warehouse Operator 4 classification. In addition, the examples of

warehouse supervisor work identified at the Warehouse Operator 4 level are consistent with your assigned duties and responsibilities. Therefore, your position is properly allocated to the Warehouse Operator 4 classification.

This determination is based on the duties described in the classification questionnaire for your position BS56, which is date stamped as received by the WCC Personnel Office of October 30, 1995. If the duties and responsibilities of your position have changed, you may request a review of your current duties and responsibilities in accordance with DOC's reallocation procedure.

Appeal Rights

WAC 357-49-018 provides that either party may appeal the results of the Director's review to the Personnel Resources Board by filing written exceptions to the Directors' determination in accordance with Chapter 357-52 WAC.

WAC 357-52-015 states that an appeal must be received in writing at the office of the Board within thirty (30) calendar days after service of the Directors' determination. The address for the Personnel Resources Board is 2828 Capitol Blvd., P.O. Box 40911, Olympia, Washington, 98504-0911.

If no further action is taken, the Director's determination becomes final.

Sincerely,

Teresa Parsons
Director's Review Supervisor

cc: Joanne Harmon, DOC

List of Exhibits for Wulf 06AL0062

- A. CQ for position #BS56 date stamped by the WCC Personnel Office on October 30, 1995
- B. December 9, 2005 letter from Jennie Adkins informing Mr. Wulf of the reallocation of his position to the Warehouse Operator 4 classification
- C. January 19, 2006 letter from Mr. Wulf to the Director of the Department of Personnel requesting a review of his position.
- D. September 28, 2006 letter from Teresa Parsons to Mr. Wulf and Ms. Harmon informing them that Mr. Wulf's review request would be held until after DOC conducted a complete review and analysis of his duties and responsibilities
- E. November 22, 2006 Allocation Review Request letter from Ms. Harmon to Mr. Wulf finding that Mr. Wulf's position was properly allocated
- F. December 29, 2006 letter from Karen Wilcox to Mr. Wulf and Ms. Harmon confirming that the position review would be based on the documents submitted.
- G. Correctional Industries Manufacturing Occupational Category
- H. Construction and Maintenance Supervisor classification (70550)
- I. Cook-AC job classification (80200)
- J. Warehouse Operations Occupational Category including the classification specification for Warehouse Operator 4 (117L)